

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/509,810	09/30/2004	John Zimmerman	PHUS020099	8348	
24737 PHILIPS INT	7590 03/25/201 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 300	1	BAIG, SAHAR A			
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2424	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/25/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/509,810	ZIMMERMAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SAHAR A. BAIG	2424		

	SAHAR A. BAIG	2424						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 22 February 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	THE REPLY FILED 22 February 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. Material The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.13.1; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire te Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 705.07() Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extuder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent them adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
NOTICE OF APPEAL								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the filed with th	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS								
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to  a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);						
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	rucing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	cplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3 and 6-17.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a					
The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation     REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER     11.    The request for reconsideration has been conside because:     See Continuation Sheet.		•						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:	T TO/SB/00) T aper No(3).							
/Christopher Kelley/								

Application No.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the Oldale reference is only concerned with making recommendations as to what program one should watch instead of making recommendations after filtering the complementary information for a video program. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Oldale is concerned with filtering data to predict an observation about an item for a particular case [0001]. No where in Oldale's disclosure is the filtering restricted to only making predictions for TV programs. It is a filtering technique for making predictions according to the user's profile. Secondly, the motivation to combine Walker and Oldale reside in Walker's disclosure, specifically in paragraphs 0016 and 0019, where it is disclosed that the user can interactively request supplemental information regarding a television program being watched at certain times.